

PTO/SB/21 (01-03)

Approved for use through 04/30/2003. OMB 0651-0031
U.S. Patent and Trademark Office; U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

Under the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, no persons are required to respond to a collection of information unless it displays a valid OMB control number.

TRANSMITTAL
FORM

(to be used for all correspondence after initial filing)

TRANSMITTAL FORM <small>(to be used for all correspondence after initial filing)</small>		Application Number	09/900,188
		Filing Date	07/09/01
		First Named Inventor	PAYNE
		Art Unit	2856
		Examiner Name	R.T. FRANK
Total Number of Pages in This Submission	3	Attorney Docket Number	—

Total Number of Pages in This Submission

ENCLOSURES (Check all that apply)

Fee Transmittal Form
 Fee Attached
 Amendment/Reply
 After Final
 Affidavits/declaration(s)
 Extension of Time Request
 Express Abandonment Request
 Information Disclosure Statement
 Certified Copy of Priority Document(s)
 Response to Missing Parts/ Incomplete Application
 Response to Missing Parts under 37 CFR 1.52 or 1.53

Drawing(s)
 Licensing-related Papers
 Petition
 Petition to Convert to a Provisional Application
 Power of Attorney, Revocation
 Change of Correspondence Address
 Terminal Disclaimer
 Request for Refund
 CD, Number of CD(s)

After Allowance Communication to Group
 Appeal Communication to Board of Appeals and Interferences
 Appeal Communication to Group (Appeal Notice, Brief, Reply Brief)
 Proprietary Information
 Status Letter
 Other Enclosure(s) (please identify below):

Remarks

This was sent by FAX
 on 3/30/03 without this
 transm. H/d form

FAX RECEIVED

MAR 28 2003

SIGNATURE OF APPLICANT, ATTORNEY, OR AGENT

TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2800

Firm or Individual	Frank C Price
Signature	<i>Frank C Price</i>
Date	3-30-03

CERTIFICATE OF TRANSMISSION/MAILING

I hereby certify that this correspondence is being facsimile transmitted to the USPTO or deposited with the United States Postal Service with sufficient postage so that class mail is an envelope addressed to: Commissioner for Patents, Washington, DC 20231 on this date:

3-30-03

Typed or printed	FRANK C PRICE
Signature	<i>Frank C Price</i>
Date	3-30-03

This collection of information is required by 37 CFR 1.5. The information is required to obtain or retain a benefit by the public which is to file (and by the USPTO to process) an application. Confidentiality is governed by 35 U.S.C. 122 and 37 CFR 1.14. This collection is estimated to take 12 minutes to complete, including gathering, preparing, and submitting the completed application form to the USPTO. Time will vary depending upon the individual case. Any comments on the amount of time you require to complete this form and/or suggestions for reducing this burden, should be sent to the Chief Information Officer, U.S. Patent and Trademark Office, U.S. Department of Commerce, Washington, DC 20231. DO NOT SEND FEES OR COMPLETED FORMS TO THIS ADDRESS. SEND TO: Commissioner for Patents, Washington, DC 20231.

If you need assistance in completing the form, call 1-800-PTO-9199 (1-800-786-9199) and select option 2.

BEST AVAILABLE COPY

FROM :

PHONE NO. : 714 544 7927

MAR. 30 2003 10:25AM P2

By ~~FAX~~ to ~~Administrative~~

(call waiting
abs.)

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

5 Applicant: W. B. Payne

Serial No. 09/900,188

Group Art: 2856

Examiners: Hezron Williams,

R. T Frank, Helen Kwok

Filed: 07/09/01

Filed For: Ullage Meter for a Tank of Compressed Gas at Elevated Temperature

10 THE HONORABLE COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington D. C.

March 25, 2003
Santa Ana CA

15 Dear Sir:

In response to the Detailed Actions of March 19, 2003 the following

15 correction is submitted.

IN THE CLAIMS

20 Claims 2 and 3 continue to be withdrawn as they had been earlier (then mistakenly
restated in our previous amendment).

Our missing listing of Claims Status is attached.

There are no new amendments to claims.

REMARKS

25 We respectfully ask whether under the procedures the examiner has had a chance to read
our ~~remarks~~ submitted in January, 2003 and again in February, 2003 and our commercial
materials presented in January, 2003. We believe they present a strong case against the
examiner's charges of obviousness. We have had no response to them.

30 Our purpose in making claim changes was not to place the application in better form for
appeal, rather, to improve the fine form of the claims in case we go forward, hopefully, to
receive claim allowance. Can the Examiner see the changes as simple improvements, rather than
as something aimed at a successful appeal?

FAX RECEIVED

Respectfully submitted,

Frank C. Price Reg. No. 29,841 2 8 2003

Frank C. Price TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2600